Rules for Faculty Promotion Evaluation

of the College of Engineering, National Sun Yat-sen University

Amended and approved at the 3rd College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2000 academic year on November 15, 2000 Approved at the 240th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on November 27, 2000 Amended and approved at the 12th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2002 academic year on June 19, 2003 Approved at the 277th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on July 16, 2003 Amended and approved at the 5th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2005 academic year on January 2, 2006 Approved at the 299th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on January 5, 2006 Amended and approved at the 5th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2007 academic year on April 18, 2008 Approved at the 314th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on May 13, 2008 Amended and approved at the 4th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2008 academic year on December 17, 2008 Approved at the 319th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on January 14, 2009 Approved at the 4th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2010 academic year on March 4, 2010 (Names of units were changed and there was no need to report the change to the University Faculty Evaluation Committee according to the letter from the Office of Personnel Services) Amended and approved at the 5th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2010 academic year on April 22, 2010 Amended and approved at the 1st College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2011 academic year on September 14, 2011 Approved at the 337th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on November 17, 2011 Approved at the 8th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2012 academic year on May 17, 2013 Approved at the 355th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 13, 2013 Approved at the 4th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2014 academic year on March 4, 2015 Approved for future reference at the 366th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on March 26, 2015 Approved at the 1st College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2015 academic year on September 23, 2015 Approved at the 369th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on October 22, 2015 Approved at the 4th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2015 academic year on March 9, 2016 Approved at the 372nd University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on March 24, 2016 Approved at the 4th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2017 academic year on March 7, 2018 Approved at the 387th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on May 3, 2018 Approved at the 6th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2018 academic year on May 27, 2019 Approved at the 394th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 13, 2019 Approved at the 5th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2021 academic year on April 12, 2022 Approved at the 6th College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2021 academic year on May 24, 2022 Approved at the 414th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 9, 2022 Approved at the 3rd College Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting of the 2022 academic year on December 13, 2022 Approved at the 420th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on December 29, 2022

- I. The College of Engineering stipulates the Rules in accordance with the Regulations for Promotion Evaluation of Faculty at National Sun Yat-sen University and the Guidelines for Faculty Promotion Evaluation of the College of Engineering.
- II. Industry-academia Research Performance
 - (I) Category of General Research: 70% (70 points)

A. Industry-academia Research Performance (70 points)	
A1. Industry-academia research performance evaluated externally: 52.5 points	A2. Research project grants and academic achievements obtained within seven years of the current rank: 17.5 points

The scoring for each item is based on the evaluation criteria outlined in A2 of the Rules of Faculty Promotion Evaluation

Scoring at National Sun Yat-sen University,
as well as the research project grants and academic achievements obtained within the past seven years at the current professional rank.

The total score of all items in A2 must not exceed 17.5 points.

(II) Category of Technology Application: 70% (70 points)

A. Industry-academia Research Performance (70 points)	
A1. Industry-academia research performance evaluated externally: 28 points	A2. Research project grants and academic achievements obtained within seven years of the current rank: 42 points
External evaluation score: Average score of external evaluation * 0.4 * 0.7	The scoring for each item is based on the evaluation criteria outlined in A2 of the <i>Rules of Faculty Promotion Evaluation Scoring at National Sun Yat-sen University</i> , as well as the research project grants and academic achievements obtained within the past seven years at the current professional rank. The total score of all items in A2 must not exceed 42 points.

(III) Category of Teaching Research: 60% (60 points)

A. Industry-academia Research Performance (60 points)		
A1. Industry-academia research performance evaluated externally: 36 points	A2. Research project grants and academic achievements obtained within seven years of the current rank: 24 points	
External evaluation score: Average score of external evaluation * 0.6 * 0.6	The scoring for each item is based on the evaluation criteria outlined in A2 of the <i>Rules of Faculty Promotion Evaluation Scoring at National Sun Yat-sen University</i> , as well as the research project grants and academic achievements obtained within the past seven years at the current professional rank. The total score of all items in A2 must not exceed 24 points.	

III. Teaching Performance

Category of general research and technology application accounts for 20% (20 points), Category of teaching research accounts for 30% (30 points).

The scoring is based on the evaluation criteria for teaching performance outlined in the Rules of

Faculty Promotion Evaluation Scoring at National Sun Yat-sen University.

- IV. Service Performance10%(10 points)
 - (I) Service performance evaluated by the department/institute/master's program faculty evaluation committees * 80%
 - (II) Extra points (maximum of 2 points)
 - 1. 0.8 points will be awarded for each College Outstanding Hoomroon Teacher Award received.
 - 2. 0.4 points will be awarded for each occasion of serving as the College's representative for international talent or student recruitment.
 - 3. 0.2 points will be awarded for each occasion of serving as the College's representative for domestic student recruitment.
 - 4. 0.4 points will be awarded for serving as a member of the task force for the College's All-Topic Competition and Exhibition; 0.3 points will be awarded for supervising students who participate in the College's All-Topic Competition and Exhibition.
 - 5. 0.1 points will be awarded for each occasion of participating or assisting in activities held by the College (must not be double-counted with item 2-2 to item 2-4).
 - 6. 0.2 points will be awarded for serving as a representative in university-level meetings on behalf of the College or in college-level meetings for one academic year. If the service is less than one academic year, it will be calculated proportionally.
- V. Applicants who achieve a total score of 70 points or above, which is calculated by multiplying the score of their aforementioned industry-academia research, teaching, and service performance by 90% and then adding it to the score given by the College Faculty Evaluation Committee members based on the overall performance of the applicants (0-10 points), are considered as having passing the promotion evaluation. The applicants may be invited to attend meetings of the College Faculty Evaluation Committee for explanatory information.
- VI. The Rules have been passed by the College Faculty Evaluation Committee and the University Faculty Evaluation Committee and then submitted to the President for approval of implementation. The same procedure shall apply to all amendments to the Rules.