

# Technical Report Review Form for Faculty Promotion of the College of Engineering of National Sun Yat-sen University (Form 1)

## 【Technical Application】

Amended and approved at the 373th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on May 19, 2016 and effective from the fall semester of the 2016 academic year

Amended and approved at the 381st University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 15, 2017

Amended and approved at the 395th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on October 17, 2019 and effective from the spring semester of the 2019 academic year

Amended and approved at the 398th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on March 19, 2020

Applicant's Name		Current Rank		Rank to be Promoted	
Name of Representative Work					
Highest Level of Education					
Representative Publications or Technical Reports Submitted for Promotion to Previous Ranks					
Publication Name (Report Title)			Review Date	Rank to be Promoted	Approval or Rejection
Attachment (In addition to the technical report, self-evaluation (no more than one A4 sheet of paper), a record of awards granted by the Ministry of Science and Technology and other achievements, research project records, catalog of publications, and teaching materials shall be attached)					
<b>Review Area</b>			<b>Result</b>		
1. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection (a description of innovativeness, feasibility, future potential and importance of research outcomes, practical value, technical or industrial improvement, and specific contribution) to evaluate the quality of the <u>applicant's</u> research outcomes at the current rank prior to the promotion application.			<input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% ) <input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )		A reason must be provided for the selected option:
			<input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% ) <input type="checkbox"/> ( ≥31% )		
2. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection to evaluate the applicant's ability to independently conduct research at the current rank prior to the promotion application.			<input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% ) <input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )		A reason must be provided for the selected option:
			<input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% ) <input type="checkbox"/> ( ≥31% )		

<p>3. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection to evaluate the professional performance demonstrated by the applicant in his or her peer group.</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )  <input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( ≥31% ) </p> <p>A reason must be provided for the selected option:</p>
--	--

<p>4. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection to evaluate the applicant's R&amp;D outcomes in his or her chosen field of specialization based on the submitted representative R&amp;D work and reference R&amp;D works (including specialized publication).</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )  <input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( ≥31% ) </p> <p>A reason must be provided for the selected option:</p>
---	--

5. Overall Result (Please check the corresponding box and provide detailed comments in Form 2)

The applicant's research performance compared to his or her peer group	Excellent (Top 10%)	Great (Top 11%-20%)	Good (Top 21%-30%)	Fair (31%-50%)	Poor (Bottom 49%)
Please check the corresponding box					

Notes:

**1. Please consider the applicant's ability to conduct research independently.**

2. Excellent for the Overall Result can only be selected if the results of Review Areas 3 and 4 are both Top 10%. Poor for the Overall Result can only be selected if the results of Review Areas 3 and 4 are both Bottom 69%.

**Involved in plagiarism or other breaches of academic integrity (Please provide specific details in the field of Review Comments)**

**Other Comments:**

Reviewer's Signature:

Date:

Please seal the envelope and send the forms to the dean who shall receive them in person by MM/DD/YYYY.

